

Nearby owners/occupiers were notified of the application and representations were received which can be summarised as follows:

- concerns regarding pressure to on-street parking demand and cumulative effect of similar developments in the vicinity
- concerns over provision of adequate amenities for future occupiers and size of top floor flat in view of reduction in size of dormers
- loss of mid-sized family dwelling
- increase in density
- gross overdevelopment
- parking provision inadequate

Comments from Consultees

From the technical Highways perspective, no objections are raised to the proposed development, subject to the imposition of standard conditions.

Highways Drainage advises that there is no public surface water sewer in Padua Road.

The Council's Waste Advisors raise no objection to the proposal.

Thames Water was notified of the application and raised no objection with regard to waste and water infrastructure.

Environmental Health (housing) raise no objection to the proposal.

Planning Considerations

The main planning policies of relevance to this application are as follows:

- BE1 Design of New Development
- H7 Housing Density and Design
- H9 Side Space
- H11 Residential Conversions
- T18 Road Safety
- NE7 Development and Trees

The application has been called-in to Committee by a local ward Member.

Planning History

There is extensive planning history at the site. Of most recent relevance are application refs. 07/03581 and 08/00425 which sought permission for a hip to gable rear dormer roof extension, together with a three storey side/rear extension and the conversion of the extended property to form 4 and 5 flats respectively. Planning permission was refused for both schemes, and appeals against both decisions dismissed, with a joint decision being issued by the Inspectorate given the similarities between the two cases.

The reasons for refusal in both cases were as follows:

The proposed development, by reason of its design and bulk, will result in a cramped overdevelopment of the site, out of character with the surrounding development and detrimental to the appearance of the street scene generally, contrary to Policies BE1 and H7 of the Unitary Development Plan.

The proposal lacks adequate on-site car parking and access arrangements and as such would be likely to interfere with the free flow of traffic and conditions of pedestrian and vehicular road safety, contrary to Policies T3, T6 and T18 of the Unitary Development Plan.

At appeal, the Inspector found that the “top-heavy mass” of the rear dormers would result in “harm to the character and appearance of the street scene”, compounded by the “flat roofed dormers in the front elevation which would be aesthetically displeasing and at variance with the pitched roof dormers found elsewhere along the road”. With regard to parking, the Inspector found that both proposals would be likely to result in “more pressure upon available kerbside spaces in the road”, but nevertheless based on the information in from of him that neither proposal would “result in undue highway dangers nor increase parking stress in the road to an unacceptable level”.

Conclusions

The scheme currently under consideration here is very similar in principle to that dismissed at appeal under ref. 08/00425, and specifically seeks to address the concerns raised by the Inspector with regard to the bulk of the rear dormers and the appearance of the dormers to the front roofslopes. Members will note that the Inspector did not appear to raise any objections to the principle of the proposed extension and conversion of the host property, nor indeed with regard to the parking and access arrangements.

The proposal now incorporates dormers with pitched roofs to the front roofslope, which may be considered more in keeping with those that exist to other properties in the vicinity of the application site. To the rear, the rear dormers have been significantly reduced in size and bulk and would no longer appear ‘top-heavy’, with both being set away from the flank walls therefore reducing the likelihood of the dormers harming the character and appearance of the street scene. On balance, Members may find that the proposal has addressed the concerns raised by the Inspector at appeal, and that on balance permission should now be granted.

Background papers referred to during production of this report comprise all correspondence on files refs. 09/03152, 08/00425 and 07/03581, excluding exempt information.

RECOMMENDATION: PERMISSION

Subject to the following conditions:

1	ACA01 ACA01R	Commencement of development within 3 yrs A01 Reason 3 years
2	ACA04 ACA04R	Landscaping Scheme - full app no details Reason A04
3	ACA07 ACA07R	Boundary enclosure - no detail submitted Reason A07
4	ACC04 ACC04R	Matching materials Reason C04
5	ACB19 ACB19R	Trees - App'ment of Arboricultural Super Reason B19
6	ACH03 ACH03R	Satisfactory parking - full application Reason H03
7	ACH22 ACH22R	Bicycle Parking Reason H22
8	ACH19 ACH19R	Refuse storage - implementation Reason H19

Reasons for granting permission:

In granting permission the Local Planning Authority had regard to the following policies of the Unitary Development Plan:

- BE1 Design of New Development
- H7 Housing Density and Design
- H9 Side Space
- H11 Residential Conversions
- T18 Road Safety
- NE7 Development and Trees

The development is considered to be satisfactory in relation to the following:

- (a) the density of the proposed development and the provision of additional housing on a previously developed site
- (b) the relationship of the development to adjacent properties
- (c) the character of the development in the surrounding area
- (d) the impact on the amenities of the occupiers of adjacent and nearby properties
- (e) the high quality design and layout of the proposed development
- (f) the proposed parking provision and the impact to conditions of road safety
- (g) the impact of the proposed development to trees within the site
- (h) the reduction in the size and bulk of the rear dormers proposed together with the introduction of pitched roofs to the front dormers in addressing the concerns raised previously at appeal
- (i) the housing policies of the Unitary Development Plan
- (j) the transport policies of the Unitary Development Plan
- (k) the conservation policies of the Unitary Development Plan

and having regard to all other matters raised.


INFORMATIVE(S)

- 1 RDI10 Consult Land Charges/Street Numbering
- 2 RDI16 Contact Highways re. crossover
- 3 You should seek engineering advice from the Environmental Services Department at the Civic Centre with regards to the reinstatement of any damage to the highway. Please contact Street Services on 020 8313 4924.
- 4 You are advised that there is no surface water sewer in Padua Road. Thames Water should be contacted to determine how the drainage should be dealt with.
- 5 With regard to surface water drainage it is the responsibility of a developer to make proper provision for drainage to ground, water courses or a suitable sewer. In respect of surface water it is recommended that the applicant should ensure that storm flows are attenuated or regulated into the receiving public network through on or off site storage. When it is proposed to connect to a combined public sewer, the site drainage should be separate and combined at the final manhole nearest the boundary. Connections are not permitted for the removal of Ground Water. Where the developer proposes to discharge to a public sewer, prior approval from Thames Water Developer Services will be required. They can be contacted on 0845 850 2777. Reason - to ensure that the surface water discharge from the site shall not be detrimental to the existing sewerage system.

Reference: 09/03152/FULL1

Address: 6 Padua Road Penge London SE20 8HF

Proposal: Roof alterations incorporating front and rear dormer extensions / three storey side/rear extension and conversion to form 1 three bedroom dwelling, 1 studio flat and 1 one bedroom and 2 two bedroom flats with 3 car parking spaces and cycle/refuse stores.



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. London Borough of Bromley. Lic. No: 100017661